

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/637,624	08/11/2003	Yoshimi Uda	03500.016023.1	2087	
5514 75	590 06/29/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER		
FITZPATRIC	K CELLA HARPER &	SANTIAGO,	SANTIAGO, MARICELI		
30 ROCKEFEL NEW YORK, 1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW TORK,	N1 10112	2879	2879		
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/637,62		UDA ET AL.	"			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mariceli S		2879				
	G DATE of this communication a		_		Iress			
Period for Reply								
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply sp - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. secified above is less than thirty (30) days, a secified above, the maximum statutory per se set or extended period for reply will, by state office later than three months after the maintenance. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no every reply within the state od will apply and we tute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor	nmunication.			
Status								
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed on 31	March 2005.						
2a) This action is		his action is n	on-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	5			•				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-19,21,24 and 25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17-19,21,24 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specifica	tion is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 August 2003</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/637,624. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The Amendment, filed on March 31, 2005, has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner.

Cancellation of claims 1-16, 20, 22 and 23 has been entered.

Claims 17-19, 21, 24 and 25 are pending in the instant application.

The indicated allowability of claims 17-19, 21, 24 and 25 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Oota (JP 60-146225). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17-19, 21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oota (JP 60-146225).

Regarding claim 17, Oota discloses a substrate having a fine line, wherein the fine line comprises an end portion, a portion other than the end portion and a recess¹ at the end portion, the portion other than the end portion having a width narrower than a width of the end portion (Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 18, Oota discloses a substrate wherein the fine line is obtained by heating a material which is provided on the substrate (see Abstract).

¹ A small hollow or an indented area

Application/Control Number: 10/637,624

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 19, Oota discloses a substrate wherein a distance from a surface of the substrate on which the fine line is formed to a bottom of the recess is 15 μ m or less (Zero is inclusive, the recess is a hollow).

Regarding claim 21, Oota discloses an apparatus comprising a substrate, the substrate having a device and a wiring for the device, wherein the wiring comprises an end portion, a portion other than the end portion and a recess at the end portion, the portion other than the end portion having a width narrower than a width of the end portion (Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 25, Oota discloses an image display apparatus comprising a plurality of devices, wherein the wiring connects the plurality of devices as a part of the matrix wirings (Fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oota (JP 60-146225).

Regarding claim 24, Oota discloses a substrate for an electro-optical device a fine line as claimed in order to prevent peeling and the internal stresses common in the terminal portion of the fine line. Oota fails to exemplify an image display apparatus wherein the device comprises an electron-emitting device. However, one skilled in the art would reasonable contemplate the usage of the substrate with the fine line assembly as claimed by Oota in devices having the general substrate, wiring and terminal arrangement, such as FED's, OLED's.

Application/Control Number: 10/637,624 Page 4

Art Unit: 2879

LCD's, etc., since the effect of peeling of the wiring and the internal stresses are common to all these devices. Thus, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skills in the art to incorporate the substrate and fine line assembly disclosed by Oota in an image display apparatus wherein the device comprises an electron-emitting device in

Contact Information

order to prevent peeling and the internal stresses common in the terminal portion of the fine line.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mariceli Santiago whose telephone number is (571) 272-2464. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel, can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mariceli Santiago Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879